

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau




INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> : <b>B23K 26/12, G03F 7/42</b>	<b>A1</b>	(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 97/17166</b> (43) International Publication Date: 15 May 1997 (15.05.97)
---	-----------	--

<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/IL96/00138</p> <p>(22) International Filing Date: 4 November 1996 (04.11.96)</p> <p>(30) Priority Data: 115931 9 November 1995 (09.11.95) IL</p> <p>(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): ORAMIR SEMICONDUCTOR EQUIPMENT LTD. [IL/IL]; P.O. Box 14, 31000 Haifa (IL).</p> <p>(72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): LIVSHITS (BUYANER), Boris [IL/IL]; 20/13 Yasmin Street, P.O. Box 6739, 21940 Carmiel (IL). GENUT, Menachem [IL/IL]; 51 Leah Street, 34815 Haifa (IL). TEHAR-ZAHAV, Ofer [IL/IL]; 28 Binyamin Avenue, 42311 Natania (IL).</p> <p>(74) Agents: LUZZATTO, Kfir et al.; Luzzatto &amp; Luzzatto, P.O. Box 5352, 84152 Beer-Sheva (IL).</p>	<p>(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, HU, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, ARIPO patent (KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).</p> <p>Published With international search report.</p>
--	--

(54) Title: LASER STRIPPING IMPROVEMENT BY MODIFIED GAS COMPOSITION



(57) Abstract

A method and apparatus for accelerating a laser stripping process carried out in a reactive gas mixture, comprising carrying out the stripping process in the presence of an accelerating effective amount of  $N_xO_y$  gas.

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AM	Armenia	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
AT	Austria	GE	Georgia	MX	Mexico
AU	Australia	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BB	Barbados	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KZ	Kazakhstan	SG	Singapore
CH	Switzerland	LJ	Liechtenstein	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LK	Sri Lanka	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LR	Liberia	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LT	Lithuania	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	US	United States of America
FR	France	MR	Mauritania	UZ	Uzbekistan
GA	Gabon			VN	Viet Nam

## LASER STRIPPING IMPROVEMENT BY MODIFIED GAS COMPOSITION

### Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to U.V. laser surface treatment methods, particularly to the removal of foreign materials from substrate surfaces. More particularly, the invention relates to an improved chemistry for dry stripping process employing UV-laser radiation and reactive chemistry for the removal of photoresist from semiconductor wafers.

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

In the manufacturing of various products it is necessary to apply a layer of protective material on a surface, which must be removed after a specified manufacturing step has been concluded. An example of such process is the so-called "photolithography" process, which is widely used in the manufacturing of integrated circuits. In this process, a pattern is created on a surface using a layer of protective material illuminated through a mask, and the surface is then treated with a developer which removes material from the unmasked portions of the surface, therefore leaving a predetermined pattern. The surface is then treated by ion implantation or by etching agents, which introduce the implanted species into the unmasked portions of the surface, or removes material from unmasked portions. Once these processes are completed, the role of the protecting mask ends and it must be removed. The process is conventional and well known in the art, and is described, e.g., in R.K. Watts, "Lithography", VLSI Technology, S.M. Sze, ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1988, Chpt. 4.

Two main photoresist stripping methods exist in the modern VLSI/ULSI (Very/Ultra Large Scale Integration) circuits industry (D.L. Flamm, "Dry PlasmaResist Stripping", Parts 1, 2 and 3; Solid State Technology, August, September and October 1992):

- 1) Wet stripping which uses acids or organic solvents;
- 2) Dry stripping, which uses plasma,  $O_3$ ,  $O_3/N_2O$  or U.V./ $O_3$ -based stripping.

Both methods are problematic and far from being complete, especially when taking into consideration the future miniaturization in the VLSI/ULSI industry. The current technology is capable of dealing with devices having feature sizes of about  $0.5\ \mu m$ , but before the end of the century the expectation is that the workable size of the devices is expected to be reduced to  $0.25\ \mu m$ . The expected size changes require considerable changes in the manufacturing technology, particularly in the stripping stage. The prior art photoresist stripping techniques described above will be unsuitable for future devices, as explained hereinafter.

Utilizing only the wet stripping method is not a perfect solution, as it cannot completely strip photoresist after tough processes that change the chemical and physical properties of the photoresist in a way that it makes its removal very difficult. Such processes include, e.g., High Dose Implantation (HDI), reactive Ion Etching (RIE), deep U.V. curing and high temperatures post-bake. After HDI or RIE the side walls of the implanted patterns or of the etched walls are the most difficult to remove.

In addition, the wet method has some other problems: the strength of stripping solution changes with time, the accumulated contamination in solution can be a source of particles which adversely affect the performance of the wafer, the corrosive and toxic content of stripping chemicals imposes high handling and disposal costs, and liquid phase surface tension and mass transport tend to make photoresist removal uneven and difficult.

The dry method also suffers from some major drawbacks, especially from metallic and particulate contamination, damage due to plasma: charges, currents, electric fields and plasma-induced U.V. radiation, as well as temperature-induced damage, and, last but not least, from incomplete removal. During various fabrication stages, as discussed above, the photoresist undergoes chemical and physical changes which harden it, and this makes the stripping processes of the prior art extremely difficult to carry out. Usually a plurality of sequential steps, involving wet and dry processes are needed to remove completely the photoresist.

The art has addressed this problem in many ways, and commercial photoresist dry removal apparatus is available, which uses different technologies. For instance, UV ozone ashers are sold, e.g. by Hitachi, Japan (UA-3150A), dry chemical ashers are also available, e.g., by Fusion Semiconductor Systems, U.S.A., which utilize nitrous oxide and ozone to remove the photoresist by chemical ashing at elevated temperatures, microwave plasma ashing is also effected, e.g., as in the UNA-200 Asher (ULVAC Japan Ltd.). Also plasma photoresist removal is employed and is commercially available, e.g., as in the Aspen apparatus (Mattson Technology, U.S.A.), and in the AURA 200 (GASONICS IPC, U.S.A.).

More recently, photoresist removal has been achieved by ablation, using laser UV radiation, in an oxydizing environment, as described in U.S. Patent No. 5,114,834. The ablation process is caused due to strong absorption of the laser pulse energy by the photoresist. The process is a localized ejection of the photoresist layer to the ambient gas, associated with a blast wave due to chemical bonds breaking in the photoresist and instant heating. The partly gasified and partly fragmented photoresist is blown upwards away from the surface, and instantly heats the ambient gas. Fast combustion of the ablation products occurs, due to the blast wave and may also be due to the photochemical reaction of the UV laser radiation and the process gases. The main essence of the process is laser ablation with combustion of the ablated photoresist which occurs in a reactive gas flowing through an irradiation zone. The combination of laser radiation and fast combustion provides simultaneous lowering of the ablation threshold of hard parts of the photoresist (side walls). The combusted ablation products are then removed by vacuum suction, or by gas sweeping leaving a completely clean surface.

While US 5,114,834 provides an important novel process, it still does not provide a high throughput, which is industrially convenient, viz., an industrially acceptable number of wafers that can be stripped during a given time. The laser stripping throughput is determined by the stripping rate or by the number of laser pulses needed for providing complete stripping of a unit area of the photoresist per unit of time.

While reference will be made throughout this specification to the ablation of photoresist from semiconductor wafers, this will be done for the sake of simplicity, and because it represents a well known and widely approached

problem. It should be understood, however, that the invention described hereinafter is by no means limited to the stripping of photoresist from wafers, but it applies, *mutatis mutandis*, to many other applications, such as stripping and cleaning of photoresist from Flat Panel Displays (FPD) or removal of residues from different objects, such as lenses, semiconductor wafers, or photo-masks.

### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It has now surprisingly been found, and this is an object of the invention, that it is possible to accelerate a given laser removal process by reducing the number of pulses while carrying out the stripping process in the presence of a specific gas composition consisting of  $O_2/O_3$  and  $N_xO_y$ , wherein  $N_xO_y$  indicates one or more nitrogen oxides, x and y having the appropriate values for the given oxide or mixtures of oxides.

Four different gas compositions are preferred according to the present invention:

- 1)  $O_2 : O_3$  (Comparative)
- 2)  $O_2/O_3 : N_xO_y$
- 3)  $O_2 : N_xO_y$
- 4)  $N_xO_y$

This surprising result is obtained by providing additive amounts of  $N_xO_y$  gases in the reactive gas stream which oxidizes the ablated photoresist and enhances laser etching of hard side-walls. The effect of  $N_xO_y$  is significant, since contents of less than 5% of the total gas flow are capable of doubling the stripping

throughput. Gas percentages given herein are given by volume, unless otherwise specified.

### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The method of improving the throughput of stripped substrates in an laser stripping process, according to the invention, comprises adding to the reactive gas mixture an accelerating effective amount of one or more gases of the formula  $N_xO_y$ , wherein x and y have the appropriate values for the given oxide or mixture of oxides, to reduce the number of pulses needed for a complete removal. Typically,  $N_xO_y$  comprises a gas selected from the group consisting of NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>4</sub>.

As will be appreciated by the skilled person,  $N_xO_y$  can be generated by a number of methods. According to a preferred embodiment of the invention,  $N_xO_y$  is generated by exciting N<sub>2</sub>O gas. A simple and effective method of exciting N<sub>2</sub>O gas is by silent or corona discharge.

The process is schematically illustrated in Fig. 1. Oxygen is excited in a first corona discharge ozone generator indicated by numeral 1, to produce a mixture of O<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>, in proportions depending on the discharged energy in the generator. N<sub>2</sub>O is excited in a second corona discharge device indicated by numeral 2, to produce a mixture of NO, NO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O gases ( $N_xO_y$ ). The  $N_xO_y$ , O<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> are fed to the process chamber (numeral 3). In the process chamber the wafer which is swept by the aforementioned reactive gases is irradiated by U.V. Laser radiation 4, and stripping takes place. The gases passing through the chamber are exhausted through the vent 5.



As stated, even very low amounts of  $N_xO_y$  are useful in effectively reducing the number of pulses needed to completely remove the film. According to a preferred embodiment of the invention the content of  $N_xO_y$  in the total reactive gas stream varies according to the pressure in the process chamber. Typical pressures are in the range of 0.1-2 bar, preferably 0.3-0.99 bar. For example, at ~0.4 bar the optimum content of excited  $N_2O$  is ~5% (v/v) (See Fig. 2). As will be appreciated by the skilled person, the optimal content of  $N_xO_y$  will vary as a function of many operating conditions, such as the laser pulse energy fluence, the pulse repetition rate, the laser wavelength, the laser beam incidence angle, the height of the optical window above the wafer surface, the total pressure in the process chamber, the substrate temperature, the scanning velocity of the laser beam footprint, the flow velocity of the reactive gas mixture, as well as the partial pressures of reactive gas mixture components. These parameters will have to be determined specifically for specific stripping tasks. Determination of the optimal  $O_2/O_3 : N_xO_y$  ratio, however, can be easily carried out by the skilled person for a given system, and does not impose an excessive burden on the planning of operating conditions. The optimal operating conditions may be determined, for example, by using statistical optimization software.

Without wishing to be bound by any particular theory, the inventors believe that the surprising results achieved by the invention are due to the processes illustrated in the "Reaction Scheme" in Figure 3.

Other gases may be added to the  $O_2/O_3 : N_xO_y$  gas composition to improve the removal, especially of inorganic residues. Such gases must include chlorine and/or fluorine atoms, e.g.,  $Cl_2$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $NF_3$ ,  $NOCl$ ,  $NOF$ ,  $Cl_2O$ ,  $ClO_2$ ,  $CF_4$ ,  $CClF_3$ ,  $HCl$ ,  $HF$ ,  $SF_4$  and  $SF_6$ .

The invention also encompasses the apparatus for carrying out the laser stripping of a coated or contaminated surface according to the invention. Such apparatus comprises:

- a laser U.V. radiation source;
- a process chamber suitable to contain the surface to be stripped, said chamber being provided with gas inlet(s) and gas outlet(s);
- at least one source of oxygen or of ozone; and
- at least one source of  $N_xO_y$ .

The above and other characteristics and advantages of the invention will be better understood through the following illustrative and non-limitative examples.

#### **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

In the drawings:

- Fig. 1 schematically illustrates the process chamber and gases inflow; and
- Fig. 2 is an example of the effect of excited  $N_2O$  addition at 365 mbar; Fig. 2A is a 2-dimensional plot and Fig. 2B is a 3-dimensional plot. These figures show the response, which indicates the degree of removal of photoresist on an arbitrary scale of 0 to 10, wherein 10 indicates complete removal and 0 indicates no removal, as a two variable function. In Fig. 2A, the abscissa is the percent of  $N_2O$  added and the ordinate is the number of pulses. In Fig. 2B, the axes X, Y have the same meanings as in Fig. 2A and the Z-axis is the response as defined above.
- Fig. 3 illustrates the "reaction scheme".

### Example 1 (Comparative)

A 6" wafer covered with a layer of patterned, novolak type, positive 1.2 $\mu$ m thick photoresist (high dose implanted: dose of  $5 \times 10^{15}$  atom/cm<sup>2</sup> and energy of 80 Kev) was treated in a process chamber comprising:

- a base provided with object support means;
- a cover provided with a window substantially transmissive of laser light;
- gas inlet and gas outlet means;

the said cover and the said base, when connected, leaving a space between the surface of the element and the inner surface of the window, in which gases flowing through the said gas inlet may flow above the surface of the object being treated and out of the cell through the said gas outlet. Such a chamber is described and claimed in copending Israeli patent application No. 115934 of the same applicant herein. The chamber was equipped with a quartz window for UV light passage, gas inlet and outlet, and optics to deliver the laser beam at a predetermined position. The process was operated according to U.S. Patent 5,114,834, using ozone as the reactive gas. The pressure in the chamber was maintained at 365 mbar. The laser employed was a Lambda Physik LPX315IMC excimer laser. Complete removal was obtained using over 120 pulses. The complete removal was verified by several analytical techniques, such as Auger Electron Spectroscopy (AES), Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), Total Reflection X-Ray Fluorescence (TXRF) and Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS).

### Example 2

Operating as in Example 1, but with the addition of  $N_2O$ , through the corona discharge arrangement shown in Fig. 1, various amounts of  $N_2O$  were tested, and it was found that adding 5 vol.% of  $N_2O$  leads to an optimal pulse reduction, to 58 pulses (instead of over 120), for the same removal quality.

From a number of experiments results were obtained which, by interpolation, lead to the results shown in Fig. 2. Fig. 2A shows, in planar form, the same results shown in 3D form in Fig. 2B.

The actual gain obtained when  $N_2O$  is introduced into the gas mixture can better be understood from Fig. 2A. This will be illustrated with reference to the response marked 8.5. It can be seen that, without introduction of  $N_2O$  (y;  $N_2O=0$ ), about 75 pulses are needed to reach 85% removal. However, when 5% (v/v) of  $N_2O$  was introduced into the process chamber, the number of pulses required to obtain the same removal quality was dramatically reduced to 37. This means that a double number of wafers can be stripped in a given period of time. Complete removal was checked also in this case, as described with reference to Example 1.

### Example 3

Operating as in Example 2, but the photoresist passed RIE as in the case of metal, polysilicon or via etch, comparable results were obtained.

### Example 4

Operating as in Example 2, a hard-baked photoresist was used which was obtained by hard baking it at 240°C and deep UV curing after the developing

stage. About half of the pulses were needed when the  $N_2O$  gas was introduced, as compared to the operation without it.

#### Example 5

Operating as in Example 2, but with the addition of NO gas (instead of excited  $N_2O$ ), about half of the pulses were needed in comparison to Example 1.

#### Example 6

Operating as in Example 2, but with the addition of NO gas (instead of excited  $N_2O$ ), and using oxygen (instead of ozone), about half of the pulses were needed, in comparison to Example 1.

All the above description and examples have been given for the purpose of illustration only, and are not intended to limit the invention in any way. Many modifications can be effected in the method of the invention. For instance, the optimal conditions, as well as the  $N_2O$  content may vary with most of the operating parameters. Additionally, the gases may be excited in different ways, or other gases can be added in addition to or without the  $N_2O$  required for  $N_xO_y$  formation. In particular, NO and  $NO_2$  can be added to the gas mixture containing ozone and/or oxygen, as well as NO or  $NO_2$  alone all without exceeding the scope of the invention.

CLAIMS:

1. A method of accelerating a laser stripping process carried out in a reactive gas mixture, comprising carrying out the stripping process in the presence of an accelerating effective amount of one or more gases of the formula  $N_xO_y$ , wherein x and y have the appropriate values for the given oxide or mixture of oxides.
2. A method according to claim 1, wherein the  $N_xO_y$  comprises a gas selected from the group consisting of NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>4</sub>.
3. A method according to claim 1, wherein  $N_xO_y$  is generated by exciting N<sub>2</sub>O gas.
4. A method according to claim 3, wherein N<sub>2</sub>O is excited by corona discharge.
5. A method according to any one of claims 1 to 4, wherein the reactive gas mixture includes oxygen or ozone.
6. Apparatus for carrying out the laser stripping of a surface, comprising:
  - a U.V. laser source;
  - a process chamber suitable to contain the surface to be stripped, said chamber being provided with gas inlet(s) and gas outlet(s);
  - at least one source of oxygen or ozone; and
  - at least one source of  $N_xO_y$ .
7. Apparatus according to claim 6, wherein the at least one source of ozone comprises O<sub>2</sub> gas.

8. Apparatus according to claim 6 or 7, wherein the at least one source of  $N_xO_y$  comprises NO and  $NO_2$  gases.
9. Apparatus according to any one of claims 6 to 8, wherein the at least one source of ozone and the at last one source of  $N_xO_y$  comprise means to excite  $O_2$  and  $N_2O$ , respectively.
10. Apparatus according to claim 9, wherein the means to excite  $O_2$  and  $N_2O$  comprise a corona discharge.
11. A method according to claim 1, wherein  $N_xO_y$  gas, without any excitation, is introduced into the reactive gas which may include  $O_2$  or  $O_3$ .
12. A method according to claim 1, wherein  $N_xO_y$ , with or without any excitation, is used as the reactive gas.

1/3




Fig. 1



2/3




Fig. 2A




Fig. 2B

3/3




Fig. 3

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internal Application No

PCT/IL 96/00138

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  
IPC 6 B23K26/12 G03F7/42

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 B23K G03F H01L B29D B08B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5 114 834 A (YEHUDA NACHSHON) 19 May 1992 cited in the application see column 5, line 38 - column 6, line 3 ---	1,5,6
A	WD 89 07335 A (US HEALTH) 10 August 1989 see page 9, paragraph 2 - paragraph 3; claim 8 -----	1-12

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

## \* Special categories of cited documents:

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

6 February 1997

Date of mailing of the international search report

26.02.97

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Aran, D

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/IL 96/00138

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US-A-5114834	19-05-92	DE-A- 3835636	03-05-89
		FR-A- 2622352	28-04-89
		FR-A- 2622134	28-04-89
		GB-A,B 2211629	05-07-89
		NL-A- 8802587	16-05-89
-----			
WO-A-8907335	10-08-89	US-A- 5007983	16-04-91
-----			